[Srimathi Jothi Venkatachalam] [21st January 1965

There was incidence of small-pox in Nemili Panchayat Union during the week ending 19—12—1964. Immediately 118 primary vaccinations and 1.149 re-vaccinations have been done in this area Necessary preventive and control measures have been instituted in the affected areas. The Public Health authorities can very easily identify whether a particular fever is due to small-pox or other causes and take action accordingly.

3. North Arcot district was free from plague during the year 1964. But rat falls were reported from Vellore Municipality during the third quarter of 1964. All plague control measures were instituted promptly to prevent any outbreak of plague.

III.—USE OF UNPARLIAMENTARY EXPRESSIONS IN THE HOUSE.

SRI M. KALYANASUNDARAM: With your permission, Sir, I want to bring to your notice about some unparliamentary remarks that were made yesterday in this House during the debate on the Governor's Address. The Hon. Speaker was not sitting in the Chair at that time. Nor was myself or the Hon. Chief Minister present at that time. I do not mind any criticism against my party. It is permissible. But one hon. Member, I think it is Sri V. Krishnamoorthy (I could recognise his voice) attacked me personally saying that I was a traitor. He is at liberty to have his own opinion. I do not expect any certificate from him. But what I say is this House is not the forum to use such harsh words. I know earlier there was some provocation for him. A circus buffoon would try to attack.

SRI P. U. SHANMUGHAM: On a point of Order, Sir. . .

Mr. SPFAKER: No point of order. The Hon. Member Sri M. Kalyanasundaram is explaining his case. He is not telling a story. He is saying that such words cannot be used against him. After he finishes his speech, the Hon. Member can raise his point. I will give him a chance.

SRI M. KALYANASUNDARAM: I am not speaking on a point of personal explanation. I am raising a point of order. I am concerned with the sanctity of proceedings of this House and the record of proceedings of this House. I do not want the Hon. Member Sri V. Krishnamoorthy to withdraw his remarks. I appeal to the Hon. Speaker not to allow such words and to expunge those words from the records.

MR. SPEAKER: I am sorry I was not here at that time. Meanwhile I request hon. Members not to use words like "அற்பத்தனமான, வெட்கமற்ற".

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM: Conduct or action of an hon. Member could be criticised but not the persons.

21st January 1965]

MR. SPEAKER : ஒரு செயல் துரோகம் என்று சொல்லலாம். " நீ ஒரு துரோகி, அவர் ஒரு துரோகி" என்று சொல்லக்கூடாது. That is a different thing. That sort of language would lower the dignity and prestige of the House and the dignity of proceedings of the House. This ought to be remembered by one and all irrespective of this or that party. I will go through the speeches made by one or two hon. Members yesterday and I will bring to the notice of the House what I feel. Meanwhile I request hon. Members not to use undignified words or unparliamentary words. People nowadays should not feel that using of undignified or harsh words or obscene words is a way of parliamentary practice. That ought to be put down first. They can express their opinion in any way they like. But they should do it strictly within the permissible limits of language. That ought to be there. If an hon. Member is attacked personally he has got every claim to plead that respectable words should be used. If one hon. Member goes on attacking another hon. Member personally it will be worse. May I request all hon. Members to-day, to-morrow or hereafter not to use unparliamentary words and not to make personal attacks. The particular action of an hon. Member could be criticised as " துரோகம்". Nobody can find fault with it. But to say a particular Member is a " துரோகி"is not parliamentary. An hon. Member's personal conduct is not before the House for discussion. Therefore I will go through the speeches and look into the matter further.

The hon. Member Sri P. U. Shanmugham can now raise his point.

SPI P. U. SHANMUGHAM: I do not want to raise any point now.

Mr. SPEAKER: All right. We will now take up the Third Supplementary Statement of Expenditure for 1964-65.

IV.—THIRD SUPPLEMENT BY STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURE FOR 1964-65 GENERAL DISCUSSION.

திரு. மீ கலியாண சுந்தரம்: கனம் சபாநாயகர் அவர்களே, 1964-ம் ஆண்டு பிப்ரவரி மாதத்தில் நிகிநிலே அறிக்கை சமர்ப்பித்த பிறகு மூன்ருவது முறையாக விரிவான மதிப்பீடுகளேச் சமர்ப் தித்து கெட்டத்தட்ட 38,40,00,000 ரூபாய்க்குக் கோரிக்கைகளேக் கனம் முதலமைச்சர் அவர்கள் இந்தச் சபையின் முன்பு வைத்து அனுமதிக்க வேண்டுமென்று கோருகிருர்கள். எதிர்க்கட்சியினர் ஏதாவது குறைக்க வேண்டுமென்று சொன்னுலும் ஒரு ரூபாய் குறைக்கவேண்டுமென்றுகான் சொல்கிறேம். அந்த ருபாயைக் குறைக்கக் கூட அவர்கள் ஒத்துக் கொள்ளப் போவ தில்லே. அவர்களுக்கு இருக்கிற மேஜாரிடியை வைத்து அனுமதியை ஒவ்வொரு முறையும் வாங்கிக் கொண்டுதான் இருக்கிறுர்கள். இதோடு சேர்த்து மூன்று முறை துணே மானியக் கோரிக்கைகள் சமர்ப்பிக்கப்பட்டிருக்கின்றன. நான்காவது முறை கூட துணே மதிப்பீடு வசலாம் என்று எதிர்பார்க்கிறேன். என் சம்பந்தப்பட்ட மட்டில் இந்த மாதிரி அடிக்கடி துணே மானியக் கோரிக்கைகள்